

# A27 Arundel Bypass Combined Modelling and Appraisal Errata

PCF Stage 2 – Further Consultation

February 2020



Combined Modelling and Appraisal Errata, February 2020 - A27 Arundel Bypass PCF Stage 2

# CONTENTS

1.	Intro	duction	2
2.	Corr	ections	2
		ComMA Chapter 12: Forecast Results	
2.	2.	ComMA: Appendix D - Model Outputs	6



# 1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this note is to summarise a set of corrections to the PCF Stage 2 Combined Modelling and Appraisal Report (ComMA) dated August 2019 which formed part of the material available at the 2019 Public Consultation.

In each case, this note sets out the existing text in the ComMA requiring correction (labelled as 'Existing Text') and below it, the corrected text (labelled as 'Amended Text'). The changes required to be made in the Amended Text are highlighted. The corrections relate to data entry, transcription or transposition issues when the document was drafted.

The errata presented herein are intended to be read in conjunction with the published consultation documents provided on Highways England's A27 Arundel Bypass website (<u>https://highwaysengland.co.uk/projects/a27-arundel-improvement/</u>).

The corrections presented in this note do not affect the assessments undertaken for the purposes of the ComMA as the amendments are relatively minor technical corrections.

No attachments are included in this note.

## 2. CORRECTIONS

## 2.1. ComMA Chapter 12: Forecast Results

#### Erratum 1

Section	Paragraph / Table	Location
12	Table 12-41	Whole table

## **Existing Text**

Table 12-41 should show model convergence data from the A27 <u>without</u> Worthing and Lancing scenario. Table 12-41 presently shows model convergence data from the A27 <u>with</u> Worthing and Lancing scenario; the same data as is presented in Table 12-4. Table 12-41 is repeated below.



tion					Paragra	ble	Location Whole table			
					Table 12					
le 12-4	1: Core	fixed de	emand s	cenario	– conve	ergence	criteria			
		2026			2041			2051		
	AM	- IP	ерм	AM	T IP C	PM	AM	IP.	PM	
				Fi	nal iterati	on				
DM	227	42	53	62	55	78	89	48	117	
115	41	36	90	41	44	75	58	74	115	
1V9	63	40	92	48	44	93	60	52	86	
3V1	61	38	85	34	34	68	60	46	109	
VSAV1	43	36	73	66	44	78	106	47	94	
ISAV2	70	33	70	45	39	75	58	50	106	
5BV1	90	33	85	53	41	73	59	71	83	
				Fi	nal Delta					
DM	0.0330	0.0133	0.0497	0.0189	0.0153	0.0421	0.0392	0.0391	0.0290	
1V5	0.0586	0.0165	0.0205	0.0370	0.0266	0.0276	0.0387	0.0345	0.0274	
1V9	0.0547	0.0126	0.0257	0.0204	0.0204	0.0223	0.0348	0.0224	0.0423	
3V1	0.0535	0.0107	0.0606	0.0679	0.0330	0.0641	0.0275	0.0253	0.0242	
V5AV1	0.0281	0.0174	0.0206	0.0347	0.0286	0.0309	0.0342	0.0264	0.0305	
ISAV2	0.0585	0.0262	0.0206	0.0521	0.0326	0.0277	0.0512	0.0249	0.0342	
5BV1	0.0495	0.0119	0.0198	0.0307	0.0338	0.0309	0.0272	0.0243	0.0356	
				F	inal %Flo	w				
DM	98.9	98.6	99.2	98.7	99.5	98.7	99.0	98.9	99.6	
1V5	98.8	98.6	99.2	99.4	99.2	99.2	99.5	98.7	99.5	
1V9	98.6	99.1	99.1	99.2	98.7	99.2	99.3	98.5	99.5	
3V1	98.9	98.8	99.0	98.9	99.2	98.9	99.1	98.8	99.6	



ection					Paragra	ph / Tab	ble			Loc
2					Table 12	Who				
	t .	2026			2041		Ĩ	2051		
	AM	IP	PM	AM	IP	PM	АМ	IP	PM	
4/5AV1	98.4	98.6	98.7	99.1	98.9	99.3	99.6	98.6	99.5	
4/5AV2	98.7	98.8	99.3	98.9	99.1	99.3	99.0	98.7	99.6	
SBV1	98.4	99.1	99.2	98.9	98.6	98.8	99.4	99.1	99.1	
					Final %Ga					
DM	0.030	0.040	0.037	0.036	0.032	0.038	0.034	0.041	0.042	
115	0.028	0.034	0.023	0.038	0.049	0.048	0.025	0.033	0.031	
1091	0.033	0.020	0.021	0.032	0.032	0.043	0.027	0.034	0.045	
3V1	0.044	0.016	0.032	0.047	0.040	0.048	0.040	0.045	0.050	
4/5AV1	0.037	0.036	0.029	0.034	0.035	0.044	0.031	0.04	0.041	
4/5AV2	0.025	0.035	0.029	0.036	0.033	0.043	0.045	0.04	0.031	
5BV1	0.027	0.020	0.047	0.049	0.044	0.050	0.025	0.03	0.043	

## Amended Text

All model convergence values in Table 12-41 have been replaced with the data for the A27 without Worthing and Lancing scenario, whereas the data originally presented was a repeat of the data for the A27 <u>with Worthing and Lancing scenario</u>. Revised Table 12-41 presented below. All data within the table is revised and shown in bold red font.



ction					Paragra	aph / Ta	ble			Location
					Table 1	Whole table				
- I- 40	11 · C · · ·	. Consultation								
ole 12-	41: Core	2000 Aug 10	emand	scenario	C. C. LANSING	ergence	criteria	100000		
		2026			2041			2051		
	AM	IP	PM	AM	IP nal iterati	PM	AM	IP	PM	
DM	66	44	49	39	57	67	70	77	92	_
1V5	43	140	89	37	71	64	51	53	89	-
109	45	59	91	40	68	61	52	52	62	-
3V1	58	33	95	48	45	50	47	66	96	-
/5AV1	127	116	49	47	54	82	56	52	93	-
SAV2	64	94	53	41	56	48	47	58	75	-
5BV1	54	90	56	48	74	57	46	55	83	-
			-							
DM	0.0098	0.0152	0.0223	0.0320	0.0246	0.0300	0.0252	0.0249	0.0237	
1V5	0.0375	0.0121	0.0174	0.0432	0.0276	0.0279	0.0502	0.0292	0.0366	
1V9	0.0165	0.0112	0.0229	0.0516	0.0218	0.0544	0.0506	0.0256	0.0411	
3V1	0.0118	0.0306	0.0778	0.0231	0.0305	0.0359	0.0348	0.0179	0.0189	
5AV1	0.0264	0.0128	0.0279	0.0276	0.0265	0.0176	0.041	0.0363	0.0198	
5AV2	0.0325	0.0145	0.0262	0.0515	0.0320	0.0402	0.0410	0.0273	0.0293	
5BV1	0.0209	0.0133	0.0307	0.0406	0.0335	0.0352	0.0376	0.025	0.0254	
				F	inal %Flo	w				
DM	99.1	99.2	99.4	98.8	98.9	99.6	98.7	98.4	99.6	
1V5	99.3	98.3	98.0	98.8	99.3	99.5	99.2	99.4	99.5	
1V9	99.3	98.8	98.8	98.7	99.1	99.3	99.2	98.9	99.2	
3V1	99.0	98.6	98.9	98.8	98.6	99.3	98.6	99.3	99.7	
/5AV1	99.4	98.5	98.6	99.1	98.4	99.5	99.3	99.2	99.8	
5AV2	99.0	98.2	98.6	98.8	98.9	99.0	99.3	99.5	99.5	
5BV1	99.1	98.5	99.0	99.4	98.6	99.4	99.1	99.5	99.6	
				F	inal %Ga	P				



ection					Paragra	Location					
2					Table 12	Whole table					
	2026				2041	2041		2051			
	АМ	IPC	PM	AM	IP	PM	АМ	IP	РМ		
DM	0.037	0.017	0.038	0.047	0.037	0.042	0.034	0.038	0.042	2	
1V5	0.017	0.016	0.045	0.039	0.029	0.032	0.043	0.04	0.027		
1V9	0.025	0.025	0.045	0.041	0.045	0.034	0.038	0.041	0.042		
3V1	0.038	0.025	0.043	0.046	0.047	0.039	0.042	0.032	0.047	· ·	
4/5AV1	0.019	0.010	0.048	0.038	0.031	0.047	0.031	0.030	0.048		
4/5AV2	0.043	0.034	0.027	0.046	0.048	0.042	0.046	0.035	0.041		
5BV1	0.014	0.018	0.038	0.028	0.032	0.032	0.048	0.032	0.028		

## Explanation

This error was due to a data transposition issue. The assessments undertaken, and results and conclusions, were made on the basis of the correct data.

## 2.2. ComMA: Appendix D - Model Outputs

#### Erratum 1

Faile the extract		
Appendix D	D-1 to D-3	Data within Tables
Section	Paragraph / Table	Location

### Existing Text

Appendices D-1 to D-3 model matrix and convergence data tables are incorrectly labelled for options 4/5AV1 and 4/5AV2. The data is switched. This applies to the following tables:

Table D-1-5: Detailed comparison of forecast matrices by user class: Option 4/5Av1

Table D-1-6: Detailed comparison of forecast matrices by user class: Option 4/5Av2

Table D-2-4: Detailed fixed demand scenario convergence criteria: Option 4/5Av1

Table D-2-5: Detailed fixed demand scenario convergence criteria: Option 4/5Av2

Table D-3-4: Detailed core variable demand scenario convergence criteria: Option 4/5Av1

Table D-3-5: Detailed core variable demand scenario convergence criteria: Option 4/5Av2

## Amended Text

Revised table names within appendices D-1 to D-3 for options 4/5AV1 and 4/5AV2. The replaced text is shown as red strikethrough.

Table D-1-5: Detailed comparison of forecast matrices by user class: Option 4/5Av1V2



Section	Paragraph / Table	Location							
Appendix D	D-1 to D-3	Data within Tables							
Table D-1-6: Detailed comparison	n of forecast matrices by user class: Option	4/5A <mark>v2</mark> V1							
Table D-2-4: Detailed fixed dema	nd scenario convergence criteria: Option 4/	5A <mark>v1</mark> V2							
Table D-2-5: Detailed fixed dema	nd scenario convergence criteria: Option 4/	5A <mark>v2</mark> V1							
Table D-3-4: Detailed core variab	Table D-3-4: Detailed core variable demand scenario convergence criteria: Option 4/5A <mark>v4</mark> V2								
Table D-3-5: Detailed core variab	Table D-3-5: Detailed core variable demand scenario convergence criteria: Option 4/5Av2V1								
Explanation									
Data transposition / labelling issue. The assessments undertaken, and results and conclusions, were made on the basis of the correct data.									